

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,925	09/10/2003		Basil Karanikos		3129		
75	90	09/21/2006			EXAMINER		
Wolf Greenfield			·		DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
600 ATlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02110					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ					1723		
			•	·DATE	E MAILED: 09/21/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/658,925	KARANIKOS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph W. Drodge	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matt						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine  10) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to  drawing(s) be held in abeyar  ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) i)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/658,925

**Art Unit: 1723** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on July 13, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4,7,12-14,17,22-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sylvan et al patent 5,325,765 (Sylvan) in view of newly cited WO patent 91/14389 to Frise (Frise).

Page 3

**Art Unit: 1723** 

Regarding independent claims 1,12 and 44, Sylvan discloses a coffee filter including a filter cartridge comprising container with bottom and side walls in portion 64 extending upwards to a closing cover 62 having top opening 72 (column 4, lines 16-27). The cartridge houses filter element 16 with bottom 56 and sloping side walls 50 and 52 (column 3, lines 54-60), the filter element being *joined directly at a peripheral junction 24 with the adjacent interior wall surface of the cartridge housing (see column 3, lines 48-62 and figure 4).* The filter element is of synthetic fibers such as of polypropylene. The interior of the filter cartridge is thus divided into a first chamber housing beverage 22 and second chamber 42 receiving outflow from the filter (column 3, line 66-column 4, line 3).

The claims all differ in requiring the filter element to be pleated or corrugated. However, Frise teaches a coffee filter element that is reusable, is of fibrous material such as polypropylene (or other materials similar to those employed by Sylvan) and has side walls which are fluted or pleated (see Translated Abstract, and page 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the coffee filter device of Sylvan by utilizing a pleated filter, as taught by Frise, to increase the filter surface area.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,925

Regarding various dependent claims, Sylvan also discloses features of the filter side wall, Sylvan also discloses features of container and side wall being parallel, height of filter side wall being between 50 and 100% of height of filter cartridge, cartridge and cover having impermeable walls and being frustoconical, upper rim 18 of the cartridge and top and bottom piercing means (70 and 74); all generally clearly illustrated in the figures.

Regarding claims 3,4,32 and 33, Frise teaches the filter side wall having pleats or flutes which function as filtrate exit channels (page 2); flutes are considered a form of pleats or corrugations.

2. Claims 3,5,6,9-11,15-16,19-21 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sylvan et al patent 5,325,765 in view of newly cited WO patent 91/14389 to Frise (Frise), as applied to claims 1 and 12 and further in view of Daswick, U.S. 3,971,305.

Regarding Claims 5,6,15 and 16, Daswick discloses or suggests that the filter extends downward and away from the container at an angle of less than about 1 degree (Col. 4, Lines 1-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lesser in view of Tanner with the element of Daswick in order to provide portions spaced outwardly from the filter to allow flow freely outside the filter (Col. 4, Lines 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,925

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding Claims 9-11 and 19-21, Lesser in view of Tanner does not disclose lower permeability and increased thickness in the lower region of the filter element. Daswick teaches a beverage filter cartridge wherein reduced permeability is achieved by increasing the thickness by lining the lower region of the filter element with an insert (Fig. 2, #28) of like filter material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lesser in view of Tanner with the element of Daswick in order to retain the beverage media within the filter (Col. 3, Lines 11-15).

Page 5

3. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sylvan et al patent 5,325,765 in view of newly cited WO patent 91/14389 to Frise (Frise), as applied to claims 1 and 12 and), and further in view of Michielsen, U.S. Patent No. 3,389,650.

Regarding Claims 8 and 18, Lesser in view of Tanner does not disclose channels increasing in width. Michielsen teaches a beverage filter cartridge comprising exit channels that increase in width to a maximum adjacent the filter bottom. (Fig. 1, #2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lesser in view of Tanner with the element of Michielsen because it is a corrugated structure common in the filter art.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph W. Drodge whose telephone number is 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD September 12, 2006 JOSEPH DECOGE PRIMARE - 3